Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,279	COWLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application	·
(1) Emily Bernhardt.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Hess</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 22 February 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		,
Part II.		v.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a record of the substance or	written summary of the substance  f the interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: There are still repetitive phrases present in claim 1, namely for R6/R7 forming rings together. Additionally, in response to the par.one rejection applicant's intent should be further clarified to recite that the additional ring members formed at NR6R7 are only carbon atoms consistent with species made. It was agreed these changes be made by way of an Examiner's Amendment.